# SMILE, a deep learning method for training ConvNets with partially annotated data for the task of semantic segmentation. GdR ISIS

#### Olivier Petit

Visible Patient and le CNAM

10/05/2019



#### Olivier Petit

Visible Patient and le CNAM

## Table of contents

- 1. Introduction and motivations
- 2. SMILE and SMILEr methods
- 3. Experimentations
- 4. Conclusion

Olivier Petit

Visible Patient and le CNAM

4 B 6 4 B

ヨヨー わすゆ

## Introduction and Motivations

This work focuses on **organ segmentation** in abdominal CT-scans with **Deep Convolutional neural Networks** (ConvNets)



#### Problem

- training deep ConvNets requires large amount of data
- the annotation process is extremely time consuming and requires high qualified professionals
- clinical experts focus on specific organs or anatomical structures

## Introduction and Motivations

## Example

#### Our dataset from VP/IRCAD is partially annotated



#### Problem How can we train a ConvNet ?

#### Olivier Petit

Visible Patient and le CNAM

## SMILE method

# SMILE: Semantic segmentation with MIssing Labels and ConvNEts [Petit et al., 2018]

#### The main ideas:

- Learning only with good annotations, and ignore uncertain ones
- $\blacktriangleright$  SMILEr  $\rightarrow$  semi-supervised method with reannotation

#### Hypothesis

- If there is an annotation for an organ, it's complete in the entire volume.
- All organs are visible in the 3D image.

# SMILE method

## Handling missing annotations

- The first step is to consider K binary classifiers instead of 1 multiclass (K+1) classifier (replacing the softmax activation by a sigmoid)
- ▶ We introduce an ambiguity map **W**;  $w_c \in \{0, 1\}$  to ignore ambiguous annotations



#### Olivier Petit

Visible Patient and le CNAM

## SMILE method



#### Loss function

Each binary classifier has a binary cross-entropy loss :

$$L_k(\hat{y_k}, y_k^*) = -(y_k^* \log(\hat{y_k}) + (1 - y_k^*) \log(1 - \hat{y_k}))$$

The final loss is the aggregation of the K losses :

$$L(\hat{y}, y^*) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \ L_k(\hat{y_k}, y_k^*)$$

Olivier Petit

Visible Patient and le CNAM

= ~ ~ ~

## SMILEr incremental self-supervision and relabeling

Reannotation of the missing labels through self-supervision : curriculum learning strategy [Bengio et al., 2009].

#### Procedure

Initialization with SMILE (easy examples);

for  $t \leftarrow 1$  to T do Select  $\gamma^t = \frac{t}{T} \gamma_{max}$  top scoring pixels among  $\hat{y}_i^+$ ; Train with the new labels (hard examples);

end



Interative reannotation  $(T = 3, \gamma_{max} = 1.0)$ 

#### Olivier Petit

Visible Patient and le CNAM

## Experimentations

Experimental setup

#### Model

FCN based on a ResNet-101 [He et al., 2016]. We train:

- A baseline model on the raw data
- The same model with the SMILE and SMILEr method

#### Data

Initial data: 72 CT-scans (complete liver, pancreas and stomach) Training data: we remove  $\alpha$ % of each annotation  $\alpha = \{0 \rightarrow 100\%\}$ Split: 80% training; 20% testing

Olivier Petit

Visible Patient and le CNAM

= nar

# Experimentations

Results



SMILEr 
$$\alpha = 70\% \sim \text{baseline } \alpha = 0\%$$

Olivier Petit

Visible Patient and le CNAM

- E - - E -

EL SQA

## Experimentations

Results



SMILEr: improvements are more pronounced for small organs like the pancreas and the stomach

Olivier Petit

Visible Patient and le CNAM

= ~ ~ ~

## Qualitative results

Ground Truth Baseline SMILEr

#### とくの 正則 《川や《川や《西や《

Olivier Petit

Visible Patient and le CNAM

## Conclusion

We proposed a method for training deep ConvNets on partially annotated data.

► We showed that SMILEr can achieve comparable performances to a model trained with complete annotations with only 30% of the labels

#### Perspectives

- Training better FCN architectures (e.g. U-Net [Ronneberger et al., 2015])
- Improving the pixel selection for SMILEr (e.g. Bayesian uncertainty criterion [Gal and Ghahramani, 2016])

## References I

Bengio, Y., Louradour, J., Collobert, R., and Weston, J. (2009). Curriculum learning. In Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML '09, pages 41-48. Gal, Y. and Ghahramani, Z. (2016). Dropout as a Bayesian approximation: Representing model uncertainty in deep learning. In Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML-16). He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., and Sun, J. (2016). Deep residual learning for image recognition. In 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2016, Las Vegas, NV, USA, June 27-30, 2016,

pages 770–778.

(4) E (4) A (E (4))

## References II

Petit, O., Thome, N., Charnoz, A., Hostettler, A., and Soler, L. (2018).

Handling missing annotations for semantic segmentation with deep convnets.

In Deep Learning in Medical Image Analysis and Multimodal Learning for Clinical Decision Support, pages 20–28, Cham. Springer International Publishing.

Ronneberger, O., Fischer, P., and Brox, T. (2015). U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation. In *MICCAI (3)*, volume 9351 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 234–241. Springer.

## Appendix

## Analyzing the TP/FP ratio

- $\beta_k = \text{voxel ratio for organ k}$
- $\alpha =$  unannotated organ ratio



- Baseline : we learn with all the TN but also all the FN
- SMILE : we learn with no FN but we have removed some TN

Because the number of background labels is high, removing some of the TN have no incidence on the training.

= 200

• • = • • =